

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/482,840	01/13/2000	Marcus Peinado	MSFT-0109/127334.9	MSFT-0109/127334.9 7581	
41505	7590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			FADOK, MARK A		
	HIA, PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3625		
			DATE MAILED, 02/15/000	DATE MAIL ED: 02/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
PEINADO ET AL.	
Art Unit	
3625	
	PEINADO ET AL.

	CXalliller	Artonit	
	Mark Fadok	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress
THE REPLY FILED 21 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The langle of the period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the statutory perio	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband lment, affidavit, or other evidence, wat real fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of the e of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh	ication in est for Continued iods:
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	• •		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected ciaims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphane / unonamone	(1.102.024).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a North d sufficient reasons why the affidate and the date of the state of the sufficient reasons when the sufficient reasons with the sufficient of the sufficient of the sufficient reasons with the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons with the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons with the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons with the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons with the sufficient reasons which is the sufficient reasons which i	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appear	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. Other:			
	\sim		
	MC	7~	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 9-04)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The following is a response to applicant's remarks in the after final reply filed by the applicant on 1/21/2005.

Applicant notes that the applicant was not aware that official notice was being taken. The examiner directs the applicant's attention to MPEP section 2144.03 that defines what the taking of official notice is and how it can be recognized. Please also note that the examiner had presented a 103 with no other cited reference and appropriately cited official notice and/or a Prima Facie case of equivalence through common knowledge statements. These statements are clearly evident when the office action is read and considered in its entirety. The examiner also directs the applicant's attention to CFR 37 1.111, which requires that the applicant answer any and all objections and rejections by clearly articulating how the claims of the instant application overcome the prior art. Finally since the applicant did not seasonably traverse the taking of official notice the statements are taken to be admitted prior art (MPEP 2144.04(C)).

Applicant takes exception to the examiner's note that the applicant should consider the entire reference. The examiner directs the applicant's attention to CFR 37 1.104(C), which states that "when a reference is complex or shows or describes inventions other than that claimed by the applicant, the particular part relied on must be designated as nearly as practicable." Since the broadly recited independent claims and there function can be found in numerous sections of the Downs reference, it would not be practicable to list each and every part where the features can be found. Further, as is stated in CFR 1.111 the applicant's reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over the applied references."

In regards to applicant's arguments that the examiner should not and cannot merely categorize the recited black box of the claims as being with regards to encryption / decryption keys only, it is once again noted that the examiner must not read limitations into the claims from the specification as the applicant is urging, and can only consider these limitations if they are presented as part of the claim language.

The examiner welcomes any further discussions to clarify the examiner's position and to further advance the prosecution of the instant application. The examiner can be reached at (703) 605-4252.